

LECTURE / DISCUSSION / DEBATE
HISTORICAL CONTEXT OF CONTEMPORARY
INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS

Terms and concepts to know:

City-state system	Thucydides
Plato	Aristotle
Cicero	Imperialism
Feudalism	Thirty Years War
Westphalian System	Sovereignty
Nationalism	Concert of Europe
Treaty of Versailles	League of Nations
Fascism	Cold War
Truman Doctrine	Containment
Capitalism	Socialism
NATO	Reagan Doctrine
Deterrence	Uni / Bi / Multipolarity
Hegemony	<i>Glasnost / Perestroika</i>

Study and discussion questions:

1. Among Waltz's "images," which of the three do you think offers the best explanation for war and why? Why does Waltz distinguish between the "immediate" causes of war and the "permissive" causes of war?
2. Why does Walt conclude that "realism remains the most compelling general framework for understanding international relations?" Why does he believe that constructivist theories best explain *change* in world affairs?
3. There's a section in Stephen Walt's article on how universities are failing in how they teach IR on the relationship of the discipline of history and IR. What problem does Walt see and do you agree with his position? Why or why not?
4. Does the post-Cold War world signal a return to the multipolar system of the late 18th century, or is this going to be a unipolar era with the U.S. exercising the same hegemonic domination that the British did in the early part of the 19th century? Or does the War on Terrorism signal the beginning of a new "Cold War"?
5. What is the most significant historical point made in the video "Why Are We in Afghanistan?" Does this history make it more or less likely that you would support our invasion in 2001?

Readings:

Walt: America's IR Schools Are Broken.

Video:

Winter on Fire: Ukraine's Fight for Freedom.